## ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED - 11/15/2023 1:22 PM - By: Aradelsi Rizo, DEPUTY

1	Justin F. Marquez (SBN 262417)				
2	justin@wilshirelawfirm.com				
	Christina M. Le (SBN 237697) cle@wilshirelawfirm.com				
3	Arsiné Grigoryan (SBN 319517)	FILED			
4	agrigoryan@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO			
5	3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor	SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT			
6	Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone (213) 381-9988	DEC 11 2023			
7	Facsimile: (213) 381-9989	WICTORD SAND EZ DEPUT			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff's	MICTORIAL SATILIANEZ, DISPULT			
8	Attorneys for Frankfir s				
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO				
11	ARTHUR JUAREZ, individually, and on behalf	Case No.: CIVSB2204353			
12	of all others similarly situated,	[Consolidated with CIVBS2210350]			
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION			
14	v.	[Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Jessica Morgan, Dept. S-26]			
15	CONSOLIDATED HOSPITALITY SUPPLIES,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION AND PAGA SETTLEMENT			
16	LLC, a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,				
17	Defendants.				
18		[Filed concurrently with: Plaintiff's Notice of			
19		Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement; Memorandum of Points and Authorities; and			
20		Declarations of Justin F. Marquez and Arthur Juarez]			
21		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING			
22		Date: December 11, 2023 Time: 8:30 a.m.			
23		Dept: S-26			
24		Complaint filed: February 25, 2022 FAC: August 25, 2022			
25		FAC: August 25, 2022 Trial date: Not set			
26					
27					
28					

ORDER

The Court has before it Plaintiff Arthur Juarez's ("Plaintiff") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action and PAGA Settlement, the Declarations of Justin F. Marquez, Arthur Juarez, the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release (which is referred to herein collectively as the "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement between Plaintiff and Defendants Consolidated Hospitality Supplies, LLC, Axis Global Solutions, Inc., and American Tex-Chem Corporation (collectively "Defendants"). Attached as **Exhibit 1** to the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action is a true and correct copy of the Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement"), and attached as **Exhibit 2** is the Notice of Class and PAGA Action Settlement ("Notice").
- 2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could ultimately be given final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant has agreed to create a common fund of \$410,000 to cover: (a) settlement payments to the class members who do not validly opt-out; (b) a \$25,000.00 payment for the settlement of claims for penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA"), with 75% of which (\$18,750.00) being paid to the State of California, Labor & Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and 25% (\$6,250.00) being paid to the Participating PAGA Members; (c) the Class Representative service payment of up to \$5,000.00 for Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 35% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$143,500.00), and up to \$25,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration Costs of up to \$20,000.00.
- 3. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and

applicable law. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability, and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties with the assistance of a well-respected class action mediator. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

- 4. A Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, payment to the LWDA for its share of the settlement of claims for penalties under PAGA, and the class representative's enhancement award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.
- 5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Settlement Class"): "all persons employed by Defendants in California and classified as an hourly-paid, non-exempt employee during the Class Period."
  - 6. The Class Period is "August 31, 2017 to July 10, 2023."
- 7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1) the members of the Settlement Class are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to the Settlement Class, which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
  - 8. The Court appoints as Class Representative, for settlement purposes only,

Plaintiff Arthur Juarez. The Court further preliminarily approves Plaintiff's ability to request an incentive award up to \$5,000.00.

- 9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Justin F. Marquez, Christina Le, and Arsiné Grigoryan of Wilshire Law Firm, PLC as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up thirty-five percent (35%) of the Total Settlement Amount (\$143,500.00) and costs not to exceed \$25,000.00.
- 10. The Court appoints CPT Group, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$20,000.00.
- 11. The Court approves as to form and content of the Notice. The Court finds, on a preliminary basis, that the plan for distribution in the Notice satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.
- 12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Settlement Agreement.
- 13. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, any member of the Settlement Class who does not timely and validly request exclusion from the Settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement. Regardless of whether they exclude themselves from the Settlement, Class Members may not object to or exclude themselves from the PAGA portion of the Settlement.

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	14 days after notice of entry of the Court's order granting Motion for Preliminary Approval	
Packets	the Defendant	
Response Deadline (Opt-out or dispute	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the	

Workweeks)	Settlement Administrator	
Deadline to Provide Written Objections, if	60 days after Notice is mailed out by the	
any	Settlement Administrator	
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval, Request for Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and Service Award to Plaintiff's	16 court days before hearing on Motion for Final Approval, which is	
Final Approval Hearing	6/4/24 at 8:30 a.m.p.m., or first available date thereafter, in Department S-26. The hearing may be continued to another date without further notice to the Class Members.	

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE:	12	1 (	2073

Hon Jessica Morgan

San Bernardino County Superior Court

## PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Arthur Juarez v. Consolidated Hospitality Supplies, LLC CIVSB2204353 2 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA ) ss 4 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 5 I, Sandy S. Sespene, state that I am employed in the aforesaid County, State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action; my business address is 6 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is ssespene@wilshirelawfirm.com. 7 8 On November 15, 2023, I served the foregoing [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION 9 SETTLEMENT, on the interested parties by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope by following one of the methods of service as follows: 10 Ashley Farrell Pickett (SBN 271825) 11 farrellpicketta@gtlaw.com Bryan W. Patton (SBN 294910) 12 pattonbw@gtlaw.com 13 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900 14 Los Angeles, California 90067-2121 Telephone: (310) 586-7700 15 Facsimile: (310) 586-7800 16 Attorneys for Defendant, 17 Consolidated Hospitality Supplies, LLC BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, 18 (X) California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known 19 email address or e-mail of record in this action. 20 BY UPLOAD: I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the (X)State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site. 21 22 I declare under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, that the foregoing is true and correct. 23 Executed on November 15, 2023, at Los Angeles, California. 24 25 26 27

28